- S. Leonce, P. Renard, A. Pierre, G. Atassi, J. Nat. Prod. 1998, 61, 982-986
- [10] a) G. K. Hughes, F. N. Lakey, J. R. Price, L. J. Webb, *Nature* 1948, 162,
 223-224; b) R. T. Dorr, J. D. Liddil, D. D. Von Hoff, M. Soble, C. K.
 Osborne, *Cancer Res.* 1989, 49, 340-344, and references therein.
- [11] a) L. A. Sorbera, P. Leeson, J. Castaner, *Drugs Future* 1999, 24, 235–245; b) Y. Kashman, K. R. Gustafson, R. W. Fuller, J. H. Cardellina II, J. B. McMahon, M. J. Currens, R. W. Buckheit, Jr., S. H. Hughes, G. M. Cragg, M. R. Boyd, *J. Med. Chem.* 1992, 35, 2735–2743; c) Z.-Q. Xu, M. G. Hollingshead, S. Borgel, C. Elder, A. Khilevich, M. T. Flavin, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 1999, 9, 133–138, and references therein.
- [12] a) N. Fang, J. E. Casida, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1998, 95, 3380 3384; b) N. Fang, J. E. Casida, J. Natl. Prod. 1999, 62, 205 210; c) M. Kaouadji, A. Agban, A. M. Mariotte, M. Tissut, J. Nat. Prod. 1986, 49, 281 285.
- [13] A. C. Whyte, J. B. Gloer, D. T. Wicklow, P. F. Dowd, J. Nat. Prod. 1996, 59, 1093 – 1095.
- [14] a) M. Takasugi, S. Nagao, S. Ueno, T. Masamune, A. Shirata, K. Takahashi, *Chem. Lett.* 1978, 1239–1240; b) A. Shirata, K. Takahashi, M. Takasugi, S. Nagao, S. Ishikawa, S. Ueno, L. Munoz, T. Masamune, *Sanshi Shikenjo Hokoku* 1983, 28, 793–806.
- [15] For a solution precedent of selenium-mediated 6-exo-trig cyclizations of ortho-prenylated phenols and related systems, see a) D. L. J. Clive, G. Chittattu, N. J. Curtis, W. A. Kiel, C. K. Wong, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1977, 725 727; b) P. B. Anzeveno, J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 2578 2580; c) K. C. Nicolaou, Z. Lysenko, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 3185 3187.
- [16] All ortho-prenylated phenols employed were prepared in one of three ways: a) Friedel-Crafts-type alkylation, see F. Bohlmann, U. Buhmann, Chem. Ber. 1972, 105, 863-873; see also L. Jurd, K. Stevens, G. Manners, Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 25, 2275-2278; b) anionic alkylation, see R. W. Bates, C. J. Gabel, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 3547-3550; c) aromatic Claisen rearrangement, see F. Bohlmann, E. Vorwerk, Chem. Ber. 1980, 113, 261-266; also see D. Bell, M. R. Davies, G. R. Geen, I. S. Mann, Synthesis 1995, 707-712.
- [17] S. P. Hollinshead, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 9157-9160.
- [18] a) K. C. Nicolaou, X.-Y. Xiao, Z. Parandoosh, A. Senyei, M. P. Nova, Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 2476–2479; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2289–2291; b) E. J. Moran, S. Sarshar, J. F. Cargill, M. J. M. Shahbaz, A. Lio, A. M. M. Mjalli, R. W. Armstrong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 10787–10788.
- [19] We thank Mr. Rick Brown of Discovery Partners International (DPI) for a generous gift of IRORI MicroKans (K.C.N. is an advisor of DPI).
- [20] IRORI microreactors were not applicable for the synthesis of compounds 1, 2, 55, and 62 because of the high reaction temperature (165°C) required. All other compounds (56-61 and 63-68) were synthesized using IRORI microreactor split-and-pool technology.^[18, 19]
- [21] a) Wittig reaction: H. Ishii, K. Kenmotsu, W. Dopke, T. Harayama, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1992**, *40*, 1770–1772; b) β-ketoester reaction: J.-R. Yang, M. E. Langmuir, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1991**, *28*, 1177–1180; see also T. Besson, G. Coudert, G. Guillaumet, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1991**, *28*, 1517–1523.
- [22] R. X. Tan, J.-L. Wolfender, L. X. Zhang, W. G. Ma, N. Fuzzati, A. Marston, K. Hostettmann, *Phytochemistry* 1996, 42, 1305 1313.
- [23] K. Takai, K. Nitta, K. Utimoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7408-7410
- [24] Yields of reactions performed on the resin were estimated by oxidatively cleaving a portion of the resin after each step and determining the conversion by ¹H NMR analysis.
- [25] Recent review: C. L. Kingsbury, S. J. Mehrman, J. M. Takacs, Curr. Org. Chem. 1999, 3, 497–555.
- [26] T. G. Mayer, B. Kratzer, R. R. Schmidt, Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 2289–2293; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 2177–2181.
- [27] R. R. Schmidt, J. Michel, Angew. Chem. 1980, 92, 763-764; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 9, 731-732.

Selenium-Based Solid-Phase Synthesis of Benzopyrans II: Applications to Combinatorial Synthesis of Medicinally Relevant Small Organic Molecules**

K. C. Nicolaou,* Guo-Qiang Cao, and Jeffrey A. Pfefferkorn

In the preceding communication we described a novel selenium-based simultaneous cyclization and loading (cycloloading) strategy for the solid-phase combinatorial synthesis of natural products containing the 2,2-dimethylbenzopyran moiety.[1] Given the vast number and diverse biological activities of these benzopyran natural products, it is reasonable to consider whether this structural motif might be of value in the construction of designed pharmaceutical agents, particularly since natural-product-based mechanistic investigations have revealed that such structures interact with a variety of protein and nucleic acid cellular targets.[2] Not surprisingly, a search of the patent literature revealed a host of pharmaceutical ligands that contain the 2,2-dimethylbenzopyran skeleton (Scheme 1). Among these are the potassiumchannel activators 1 and 2,^[3] aldosterone biosynthesis inhibitors 3 and 4,^[4] 5-hydroxytryptamine-3 receptor antagonist 5,^[5] phosphodiesterase IV inhibitor 6,^[6] and the ampicillin-derived antibacterial agent 7.[7] However, in spite of the potential utility of this substituted benzopyran motif in ligand design, only a limited number of solid-phase methods for its construction has been reported.[8] Hence we investigated whether our current cyclo-loading approach might be a useful tool in medicinal chemistry for future combinatorial investigations of this class of compounds. Since structures 1-7, unlike the natural products previously described, are structurally quite diverse and possess a variety of heteroatom functionalities, we sought to effect the solid-phase functionalization of several of the previously described resin-bound benzopyrans[1] with various heteroatom-based functional groups in order to produce scaffolds embodying their structural features. Herein we describe the synthesis of several representative scaffolds as well as a solid-phase synthesis of androsterone biosynthesis inhibitor 4 (Scheme 1) and a small library of analogues using radiofrequency

^[*] Prof. Dr. K. C. Nicolaou, Dr. G.-Q. Cao, J. A. Pfefferkorn Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology The Scripps Research Institute 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037 (USA) Fax: (+1)858-784-2469 and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of California San Diego 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093 (USA) E-mail: kcn@scripps.edu

^[**] We are indebted to Nicolas Winssinger for advice and preparation of the selenium bromide resin employed in these studies. Financial support for this work was provided by The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, the National Institutes of Health (USA), the Department of Defense (fellowship to J.A.P.), and grants from Abbott, Amgen, Boehringer-Ingelheim, GlaxoWellcome, Hoffmann-LaRoche, DuPont, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, and Schering Plough.

Scheme 1. Representative examples of potential pharmaceutical candidates incorporating the 2,2-dimethylbenzopyran moiety.

directed split-and-pool technology^[9] as an illustration of the wide applicability and efficiency of this strategy.

The initial focus of these efforts was on the construction of several aromatic and heteroaromatic substituted benzopyrans resembling the core structures of the potassium-channel activators 1 and 2 (Scheme 1).[3] Four prototypes (13, 21, 29, and 35, Scheme 2) were constructed, each synthesis commencing from a different resin-bound benzopyran platform (8, 15, 24, and 31, respectively). Thus, tetrazoles 13 and 14 were constructed from cyanide 8 by treatment with azidotrimethylstannane at 100°C to give stannylated tetrazole 9, which was hydrolyzed with TFA (for abreviations of reagents and protecting groups see legends in schemes) to provide 10 in quantitative yield[10] over two steps.[11] Derivatization of tetrazole 10 was accomplished through alkylation by treatment with an appropriate alkyl halide and Et₃N at 80 °C to give 11 and 12 in 85 and 87% yields, respectively.[12] These tetrazoles were then subjected to standard oxidative release conditions (H₂O₂)^[1] to afford 13 and 14 in 52 and 65 % yields, respectively, based on 8.

In the second example, acetophenone **15** was converted into pyrazoles **21–23** (Scheme 2) by initial conversion of the methyl ketone functionality into the corresponding β -dicarbonyl system through formation of an enolate with LHMDS followed by quenching with an appropriate acyl cyanide to afford **16** and **17** in 87 and 75% yields, respectively.

Scheme 2. Solid-phase synthesis of heterocyclic and aryl-substituted 2,2-dimethylbenzopyrans: a) azidotrimethyltin (5.0 equiv), toleune, 110° C, 12 h, 100%; b) TFA (2.0 equiv), THF:H₂O (10:1), 25° C, 2 h, 100%; c) R¹Br (10.0 equiv), Et₃N (20.0 equiv), MeCN, 80° C, 12 h, 85-87%; d) H₂O₂ (10.0 equiv), THF, 25° C, 30 min, 13 (52%), 14 (65%), 21 (65%), 22 (58%), 23 (49%), 29 (42%), 30 (46%), 35 (62%), 36 (75%); e) LHMDS (3.0 equiv), R¹C(O)CN, THF, $-78 \rightarrow 0^{\circ}$ C, 1 h, 88-75%; f) hydrazine hydrate (10.0 equiv), AcOH (0.01 equiv), THF:MeOH (2:1), 65° C, 3 h, 87-65%; g) MeI (10.0 equiv), NaH (5.0 equiv), THF, 40° C, 12 h, 95%; h) R¹C(O)CH₂Br (10.0 equiv), DBU (20.0 equiv), DMF, 80° C, 12 h, 100%; i) acetamide (10.0 equiv), BF₃·Et₂O (1.0 equiv), xylenes, 140° C, 12 h, 100%; j) 100%C, 12 h, 120%C, 12 h, 120%C, 120

Condensation of **16** and **17** with hydrazine hydrate and catalytic amounts of acetic acid gave resin-bound pyrazoles **18** and **20** in 87 and 65% yields, respectively. These pyrazoles could also be further derivatized through alkylation as demonstrated by the conversion of **18** \rightarrow **19** upon treatment with MeI/NaH in DMF at 60°C. Finally, the constructed heterocycles were cleaved from the resin under oxidative conditions to afford **21**–**23** in 65, 58, and 49% overall yields, respectively, based on **15**.

The third example involved conversion of benzoic acid 24 into oxazoles 29 and 30 (Scheme 2). Hence, alkylation of 24 was effected by treatment with several α -bromo ketones and DBU in DMF at 80 °C to afford 25 and 26 in quantitative yields. Heterocycle formation was accomplished by treatment of 25 and 26 with acetamide and BF₃ · Et₂O in xylenes at 140 °C^[15] to give 27 and 28 (50 and 55 % yields, respectively), which were then cleaved under standard oxidative conditions to afford oxazoles 29 and 30 in 42 and 46 % yields over three steps based on 24.

In the fourth example bromobenzopyran 31 was converted into the corresponding tri-*n*-butylstannane 32 through a halogen-metal exchange with *n*BuLi at -78 °C followed by quenching with *n*Bu₃SnCl (Scheme 2).^[16] Tri-*n*-butylstannane 32 was then treated with several aryl iodides under palladium(0)-catalyzed coupling conditions^[17] to afford structures 33 (90%) and 34 (85%), which were subsequently cleaved to give 35 and 36 in excellent yields and purities. Interestingly, while these electron-deficient aryl iodides coupled quite effectively, attempts to employ either unsubstituted or electron-rich aryl iodides resulted, so far, in only low yields of the desired coupling products.

The facility of the latter halogen—metal exchange prompted us to consider additional reaction manifolds of the resinbound lithiobenzopyran (derived from 31) for the construction of other potentially useful substituted benzopyran structures, particularly amides, alcohols, and benzophenones (for example, 40, 44, and 47, Scheme 3).[16] Bromobenzopyran

31 was once again converted into the corresponding lithium anion and then treated with a series of electrophiles. For example, the quenching of **37** with aryl isocyanates led to amides **38** and **39** in yields greater than 95 %. The addition of aromatic aldehydes followed by warming to 25 °C provided access to benzylic alcohols **42** and **43** in 90 and 88 % yields, respectively, whereas quenching with ethyl benzoate allowed direct access to benzophenones such as **46** in 82 % yield.

As a final illustration of how the current benzopyran cycloaddition-loading strategy could be useful in expediting drug discovery efforts, we set out to demonstrate a solid-phase synthesis of aldosterone biosynthesis inhibitor 4^[4] along with a small library. The initial synthesis of 4 commenced with the described halogen – metal exchange of 31 (Scheme 4) to give 37, which was then treated with 3-(THPO)-benzaldehyde and allowed to warm from −78 to 25 °C over 12 h to afford 48 in 99% yield over two steps. The benzylic alcohol of 48 was then displaced with imidazole employing standard Mitsunobu conditions to afford tetracycle 49 in 85 % yield. After removal of the THP protecting group, phenol 50 was treated with 3-pyridylmethanol under Mitsunobu conditions to afford 51 (95% yield) from which the targeted compound 4 was released by treatment with H₂O₂ (75% yield over six steps based on 31). Application of this route using IRORI Micro-Kans and radiofrequency encoded split-and-pool technology^[9] allowed for the construction of a small library of related compounds (57-64, Scheme 5).[18] In general, the lithio species (37, Scheme 5) was treated with aromatic aldehydes to furnish alcohols 52, which underwent Mitsunobu reaction with a variety of amines (R³NH) to give structures 53. Substrates containing a THP-protected phenol were then deprotected and the phenolic hydroxyl groups were reacted with benzyl alcohols (R⁵OH) under Mitsunobu conditions to give structures 55, which were subsequently oxidatively cleaved to provide benzopyrans 57-64.

The chemistry described in this and the preceding communication^[1] demonstrate the versatility and applicability of a

Scheme 3. Solid-phase synthesis of substituted 2,2-dimethylbenzopyrans: a) nBuLi (10.0 equiv, 1.6m in hexane), THF, $-78\,^{\circ}$ C, 2 h; b) phenyl isocyanate (15.0 equiv), $-78 \rightarrow 25\,^{\circ}$ C, 30 min, 90–95% over two steps; c) aryl aldehyde (15.0 equiv), $-78 \rightarrow 25\,^{\circ}$ C, 12 h, $88-90\,^{\circ}$ % over two steps; d) ethyl benzoate (15.0 equiv), $-78 \rightarrow 25\,^{\circ}$ C, 30 min, 85% over two steps; e) H_2O_2 (10.0 equiv), THF, 25 $^{\circ}$ C, 30 min.

Scheme 4. Solid-phase synthesis of the aldosterone biosynthesis inhibitor **4**: a) nBuLi (10.0 equiv, 1.6 m in hexane), THF, -78 °C, 2 h; b) 3-(THPO)-C₆H₄-CHO (20.0 equiv), THF, $-78 \rightarrow 25$ °C, 12 h, 99% over two steps; c) Ph₃P (10.0 equiv), DEAD (10.0 equiv), imidazole (20.0 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, $0 \rightarrow 25$ °C, 48 h, 85%; d) TsOH · H₂O (1.0 equiv), THF:MeOH (10:1), 25 °C, 2 h, 100%; e) Ph₃P (20.0 equiv), DEAD (20.0 equiv), 3-pyridylmethanol (20.0 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 20 h, 95%; f) H₂O₂ (10.0 equiv), THF, 25 °C, 15 min, 75% over six steps based on **31**. DEAD = diethyl azodicarboxylate, TsOH · H₂O = p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate.

new solid-phase, seamless linking strategy for the rapid construction of complex structures. The reported selenium-based method makes use of both the loading and cleavage steps to impart complexity and functionality into the target molecules and can be used to produce both natural products and designed molecules efficiently and in a specific or combinatorial fashion. The practical nature of the steps involved, the fact that no selenium compounds are released into the solution phase, and the high efficiency and purity in which the final products are obtained should make the

reported strategy a favorite method for combinatorial synthesis to be applied in chemical biology studies and the drug discovery process.

Received: September 16, 1999 [Z14024]

^[1] K. C. Nicolaou, J. A. Pfefferkorn, G.-Q. Cao, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 748-753; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 734-739.

^[2] For examples, see a) A. A. L. Gunatilaka, D. G. I. Kingston, E. M. K. Wijeratne, B. M. R. Bandara, G. A. Hofmann, R. K. Johnson, J. Nat. Prod. 1994, 57, 518-520; b) M. J. Currens, R. J. Gulakowski, J. M.

- Mariner, R. A. Moran, R. W. Buckheit, Jr., K. R. Gustafson, J. B. McMahon, M. R. Boyd, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1996**, *279*, 645–651; c) N. Fang, J. E. Casida, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1998**, *95*, 3380–3384; d) R. T. Dorr, J. D. Liddil, D. D. Von Hoff, M. Soble, C. K. Osborne, *Cancer Res.* **1989**, *49*, 340–344.
- [3] a) A. R. Mackenzie, S. M. Monaghan (Pfizer Research and Development Co.), WO-A 9420491 [Chem. Abstr. 1994, 123, 55885]; b) P. W. Manley (Sandoz Ltd., Switzerland), US-A 5574049 [Chem. Abstr. 1996, 126, 47104].
- [4] R. M. Soll, P. J. Dollings, R. D. Mitchell, D. A. Hafner, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 29, 223–232.
- [5] V. Daele, G. H. Paul, J. P. R. Marie, V. Laerhoven, W. J. Carolus, WO-A 9412494 [Chem. Abstr. 1994, 121, 99834].
- [6] B. Gutterer, D. Flockerzi, H. Amschler, W.-R. Ulrich, T. Bar, T. Martin, A. Hatzelmann, H. Boss, R. Beume, D. Hafner, H.-P. Kley (Byk Gulden Lomberg Chemische Fabrik Gmbh, Germany), EP-B 819689 [Chem. Abstr. 1998, 128, 140610].
- [7] (Eisai Co., Ltd., Japan), JP-A 78-146098 [Chem. Abstr. 1980, 93, 204640].
- [8] a) Y. Wang, T.-N. Huang, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 9605 9608; b) D.
 Craig, M. J. Robson, S. J. Shaw, Synlett 1998, 1381 1383.
- [9] a) K. C. Nicolaou, X.-Y. Xiao, Z. Parandoosh, A. Senyei, M. P. Nova, Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 2476–2479; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2289–2291; b) E. J. Moran, S. Sarshar, J. F. Cargill, M. J. M. Shahbaz, A. Lio, A. M. M. Mjalli, R. W. Armstrong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 10787–10788.
- [10] Yields of reactions performed on the resin were estimated by oxidatively cleaving a portion of the resin after each step and determining the conversion by ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis.
- [11] J. V. Duncia, M. E. Pierce, J. B. Santella III, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2395 – 2400.
- [12] P. M. O'Brien, D. R. Sliskovic, J. A. Picard, H. T. Lee, C. F. Purchase II, B. D. Roth, A. D. White, M. Anderson, S. B. Mueller, T. Bocan, R. Bousley, K. L. Hamelehle, R. Homan, P. Lee, B. R. Krause, J. F. Reindal, R. L. Stanfield, D. Turluck, J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 2354–2366.
- [13] A. U. Siddiqui, U. M. Rao, M. Srinivas, A. H. Siddiqui, Org. Proc. Proced. Int. 1992, 24, 355 – 358.
- [14] Inspection of the ¹H NMR spectrum of 19 after cleavage revealed that the starting pyrazole 18 was formed as a 1:1 mixture of isomers.
- [15] W. Huang, J. Pei, B. Chen, W. Pei, X. Ye, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 10131-10136.
- [16] C. Z. Ding, Synth. Commun. 1996, 26, 4267-4273.
- [17] V. Farina, S. I. Hauck, Synlett 1991, 157-159.
- [18] We thank Mr. Rick Brown of Discovery Partners International (DPI) for a generous gift of IRORI MicroKans (K.C.N. is an advisor to DPI).

Hydrogen-Transfer Catalysis with Pincer-Aryl Ruthenium(II) Complexes**

Paulo Dani, Thomas Karlen, Robert A. Gossage, Serafino Gladiali, and Gerard van Koten*

Complexes of pincerlike aryl ligands such as 1-3 belong to a new class of homogeneous catalytic systems that contain a stable metal—carbon σ bond.^[1, 2a] Such organometallic complexes have already been used in a number of metal-mediated organic transformations, for example, dehydrogenation,^[2a] asymmetric allylic alkylation,^[2b] asymmetric aldol condensation,^[2c,d] Heck reactions,^[1b] atom-transfer radical polymerization (ATRP), and Kharasch addition (ATRA).^[2c,f] Additionally, the terdentate pincer ligand stabilizes complexes with unusual geometries or oxidation states.^[2f-i]

$$\begin{tabular}{c|cccc} NMe_2 & PPh_2 & PPh_2 \\ PPh_3 & PPh_3 & PPh_3 \\ Ru-Cl & PPh_2 & PPh_3 \\ NMe_2 & PPh_2 & PPh_2 \\ \end{tabular}$$

Reduction by means of hydrogen-transfer reactions has recently attracted much attention because of its practical simplicity and potential use at ambient pressure.[3] Furthermore, the use of an alternative source of hydrogen may result in different reactivity patterns.[4] Coordination complexes containing bidentate ligands are normally used as catalysts in hydrogen-transfer reactions.[3] Herein we report that the pincer-type arylruthenium(II) complexes 1-3, [5] containing the monoanionic, terdentate bis(amino)aryl [C₆H₃(CH₂- $NMe_2)_2-2,6]^-$ (NCN) and bis(phosphanyl)aryl [C₆H₃(CH₂-PPh₂)₂-2,6]⁻ (PCP) ligands, are highly active catalysts for the reduction of various ketones to the corresponding alcohols with iPrOH as the hydrogen source and KOH as the promoter [Eq. (1)]. Representative types of ketones were chosen to evaluate the performance of 1-3 in such processes. Dialkyl (aliphatic and cyclic), alkyl aryl, and diaryl ketones were all

[*] Prof. Dr. G. van Koten, P. Dani, Dr. T. Karlen
Debye Institute, Department of Metal-Mediated Synthesis
Utrecht University, Padualaan 8
3584 CH, Utrecht (The Netherlands)
Fax: (+31)30-2523615
E-mail: g.vankoten@chem.uu.nl
Dr. R. A. Gossage
Department of Chemistry, Acadia University
Wolfville, Nova Scotia, BOP1X0 (Canada)
Prof. Dr. S. Gladiali
Dipartimento di Chimica, Universita' di Sassari
Via Vienna 2-07100, Sassari (Italy)

[**] This work was financially supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Brazil (P.D.), the Swiss National Science Foundation and CIBA-GEIGY-Jubiläums-Stiftung (T.K.), and the Council for Chemical Sciences of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (CW-NWO). Support by COST Chemistry Action D12 10009/98: "Organic Transformations: Selective Processes and Asymmetric Catalysis" is gratefully acknowledged.